Treatment of resistant acral vitiligo with fractional Er:YAG laser
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Introduction

Vitiligo is an autoimmune, acquired, multifactorial, depigmenting disorder characterized by the appearance of well-demarcated white macules or patches in the skin, due to progressive loss of functional melanocytes in the epidermis.1 It has a great cosmetic and psychological effects on the life of affected patients.2 Among many clinical types and variants, acral vitiligo is characterized by a high resistance to many treatment modalities. This is probably due to lower hair follicle density, melanocyte density, Langerhans cell density and epidermal MHCII expression.3

Narrow band-ultraviolet B (NB-UVB) phototherapy is a very important method in treating vitiligo but the treatment course usually takes long duration maybe 1 year. Skin ablation by erbium-YAG (Er:YAG) laser combined with NB-UVB phototherapy and 5-FU has been tried in the treatment of nonsegmental vitiligo.4 It is found to be safe and well-tolerated technique that improves the result of short-term NB-UVB therapy and is anticipated to increase patient compliance. The Er:YAG laser emits light at 2940 nm, with the water affinity being nearly 15 times greater than that of the CO2 laser. This allows the Er:YAG laser to vaporize tissue more efficient, leaving only a small residue of coagulated tissue. As a consequence of the limited photothermal effects, hemostasis and collagen contraction are significantly reduced with Er:YAG lasers.5

Accordingly, we investigated the results of treatment of refractory acral vitiligo by combination of fractional Er:YAG 2940 nm laser and NB-UVB in comparison with NB-UVB alone.

Patients and Methods

This prospective comparative interventional study was conducted at the Laser Research Unit, College of Medicine, University of Kufa; for the period from November 2014 to December 2015. The ethical approval was obtained from the Scientific Council of Dermatology and Venereology - Iraqi Board for Medical Specializations. Thirty four patients who had generalized, stable (6 months or more) with resistant acral vitiligo were enrolled. Full history and physical examination, assessment of skin phenotypes were done. All patients were types III and IV.

Exclusion criteria included: Pregnancy, lactation, history of cutaneous photosensitivity, eye cataract or skin cancers, localized vitiligo, psychologically unstable patient and those with severe illnesses. Patients who have medical or surgical intervention for vitiligo lesions within last 1 month were also excluded.

The predominantly affected limbs, lower or upper, were treated with both laser and NB-UVB, while the less predominantly affected limbs were treated with NB-UVB alone. Fractional Er:YAG laser 2940 nm (Quanta system - DNA laser technology - MATISSE) was used. The parameters of the laser device applied in this study were as follows: Flat tip, fractional, pulse duration 0.3 ms, frequency 2 Hz, and the energy 0.3 J, one pass. Three sessions of fractional Er:YAG laser were performed at 1 month interval. No local anesthesia was needed during laser therapy. Er:YAG laser probe was held perpendicularly on acral part (hands or feet) with one pass of three pulses stump ing distributed throughout the lesion.

Full body NB-UVB cabinet (Waldmann, Germany) was used. The treatment started 5 days after each laser session, two times per week on non-consecutive days for 24 sessions. The initial dose was (0.5 J/cm2) that modified at each session according to the degree of erythema developed during treatment.

During the treatment period, the patients were seen every 4 weeks regularly; at each visit the response and adverse effects were recorded. The patients were followed up each month for 3 months after the last treatment session to look for any complications or any sign of relapse or further improvement.

All vitiliginous lesions were carefully monitored and repeated evaluations were done before each session and at the end of the treatment period. Patients were monitored for repigmentation; overall and per lesional and development of perifollicular pigmentation.
The clinical response to therapy was visually scored as the percentage of repigmentation of the vitiligenous lesions and rated as follows:

- **Excellent response**: If >75% repigmentation of the depigmented lesions at the end of therapy.
- **Good response**: If 50–75% repigmentation of the depigmented lesions at the end of therapy.
- **Moderate response**: If 25–50% repigmentation of the depigmented lesions at the end of therapy.
- **Poor response**: If ≤25% repigmentation of the depigmented lesions at the end of therapy.
- **No response**: If 0% repigmentation of the depigmented lesions at the end of therapy.

Side effects such as erythema, pruritus and burning sensation were assessed at every follow-up visit.

**Photographic Assessment**

Color photographs for each patient were obtained at baseline, and at the end of 12 weeks treatment period. Consecutive photographic documentation were taken every 4 weeks and at the end of the treatment in a good illumination and at the same site, using Sony-digital, high sensitivity, 9.1 mega pixel, DSC-HX1 still camera.

All the treated patients were assessed blindly by two independent board certified dermatologists by Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) of improvement by scale scoring from 0 to 10.

**Patient Satisfaction**

At the end of the sessions, the patients were asked about their satisfaction. The degree of satisfaction was ranged from 0 to 10.

**Results**

Thirty patients with vitiligo completed the study, four patients were defaulted due to inconvenience of the study protocol with their work. There were 17 females (56.7%) and 13 males (43.3%). Their ages ranged from 18 to 64 years with a mean ± SD (32.9 ± 15.2) years. Duration of the disease ranged from 1 to 24 years with a mean ± SD (8.7 ± 5.3). Ten patients (33%) had positive family history.

In this study, the upper limbs were treated in 26 patients with laser and NB-UVB and the lower limbs were treated with NB-UVB alone. In the remaining four patients, the lower limbs were treated with laser and NB-UVB and the upper limbs were treated with NB-UVB alone.

The response rate in areas treated with both fractional Er:Yag laser and NB-UVB was as follows: Four patients (13.3%) had good response, six patients (20%) had moderate response and seven patients (23.3%) had poor response and 13 patients (43.3%) had no response. The statistical analysis showed a significant response (P-value <0.001). Among the 17 responding patients (56.7%), the upper limbs were treated in 13 of them and the lower limbs were treated in the remaining four patients. No response was noticed after the first session of laser. The patient’s first response was started after the second session of laser.

The areas treated with NB-UVB alone showed no response at all (Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2).

There was a significant variation in the mean of VAS of improvement between limbs treated with laser and NB-UVB and limbs treated with NB-UVB alone. The average was higher in limbs with combined therapy (Table 2).

The mean score for patient’s satisfaction of limbs treated with combined therapy was 4.6000 ± 3.11393 while for limbs treated with NB-UVB alone was 0.2667 ± 0.44978, so there was highly significant differences between two limbs in each patient (P-value ≤0.001) (Table 3).

**Adverse Effect**

Regarding treatment of NB-UVB, one patient developed mild erythema at some stage during treatment that clear without treatment within 24–48 hours after each session and about half of the patients had transient hyperpigmentation that disappeared spontaneously at the end of follow-up period. No patient treated with laser was developed any localized effects. No patient terminates the treatment course because of side effects. No systemic side effect was reported.

**Follow-up**

At the end of 3 months of follow-up period after the last sessions, no patient had recurrence or Koebnerization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Distribution of patients according to response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groups</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbs treated with laser and NB-UVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1  A 57-year-old male with refractory acral vitiligo, (A) before treatment, (B) after treatment with fractional Er:YAG laser and NB-UVB showing good response.

Fig. 2  A 18-year-old female with refractory acral vitiligo, (A) before treatment, (B) after treatment with fractional Er:YAG laser and NB-UVB showing moderate response.

Table 2. Comparison in the VAS of improvement between limbs treated with combined therapy (Er:YAG laser and NB-UVB) and limbs treated with (NB-UVB) alone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbs treated with laser and NB-UVB</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.5500</td>
<td>1.48179</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbs treated with NB-UVB alone</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.23146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison between limbs treated with combined therapy (laser and NB-UVB) and limbs treated with NB-UVB alone regarding patients satisfaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbs treated with combined therapy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.6000</td>
<td>3.11393</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbs treated with NB-UVB alone</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.2667</td>
<td>0.44978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Vitiligo is a disfiguring condition that can cause considerable psychological and cosmetic distress to the patient and the family. The lesions on acral areas are considered as difficult to treat. As conventional treatment of vitiligo on hands and feet results in an unsatisfactory outcome, various ablative treatment options were tried with favorable results.

Combination therapy may produce higher rates of repigmentation compared to traditional monotherapies. So in this
This work showed better response rate (50–75%) in the limbs treated with combination therapy in spite of not using potent topical steroid in our work.

Fractional CO₂ laser was used as ablative therapy in other studies instead of Er:YAG laser in difficult to treat areas. Triple therapy was tried (laser, potent topical steroid, NB-UVB) in refractory vitiligo in two studies. The results showed good repigmentation in (23.1%) patients treated with laser, topical steroid and NB-UVB, compared with (3.9%) in patients without laser treatment. The results of previous study were better than the present study that showed good response in (13.3%) the patients. The difference in the results may be attributed to addition of potent topical steroids and more potent dermabrasion effect of fractional CO₂ laser in comparison with fractional Er:YAG laser. The other study showed that 44% of patients achieved over 50% repigmentation. The results were comparable with the result of the present work.

In half-body randomized comparative study using CO₂ laser treatment followed by NB-UVB, good response was obtained in 10% of the patients, moderate response in 20% of patients, poor response in 20%, while in the control group (NB-UVB alone), 20% of patients showed 25% response rate. The sample size in this study was small (10 patients) as compared to this study sample (30 patients), not all treated sites were acral. The results of the present work were also better. In this study, the left and right sides are not compared, because it was cosmetically and psychologically unacceptable for the patients and the patients refused treatment of one side and leaving the other side without laser treatment.
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